

Subject: MCR-10035, Enhance probe's format_pointer_ Subroutine

Author: Gary Dixon

Date: February 23, 2017

The probe (pb) command calls interpret_ptr_ in specific situations to display more meaningful information about pointers. See probe_print_handlers_.pl1 and probe_display_data_.pl1 for such existing uses.

However, probe's general pointer display routine, format_pointer_.pl1 does not make use of interpret_ptr_. format_pointer_ is also called by display_data_, which is used by analyze_multics and dump_segment commands. Thus, improved pointer descriptions from format_pointer_ could enhance probe, and many other tools.

Currently, the most neglected case is mapping a pointer into a bound segment to its segname\$entryname symbolic form. Such mapping of numbers to named entrypoints can greatly assist in debug and investigation efforts.

For example, dump_segment (ds) calls format_pointer_ to display information about pointer elements in a structure. However, the information returned by format_pointer_ only identifies the segment referenced by the pointer; it gives no further information.

```
dump_segment 234 -as stack_header.sct_ptr
000000
  sct_ptr = 234|1000 [pd]>stack_4
r 05:38 0.150 79
```

```
dump_segment 234 1000 -as "sct_ptr(21)" -in [hd]>lib>gdStructures
001000
  sct_ptr (21) = 243|3734 >sss>bound_process_init_
r 05:34 0.176 55
```

```
ds w>tests>hello 114 -as pt.pointer -in [hd]>lib>gdStructures
000114
  pointer = 777766(0),f2 [invalid]
r 07:02 0.019 2
```

- Reference Multics Ticket: <http://multics-trac.swenson.org/ticket/47>

Proposed Changes

Change >ldd>sss>source>bound_probe_.1.s::format_pointer_.pl1 as follows:

- In the edit_its_pointer internal procedure which validates the incoming aligned pointer, accept a pointer with a FT2 (Fault Tag 2) modifier, in addition to ITS and ITP modifiers currently allowed.
 - For a pointer with FT2 modifier, call interpret_ptr_ to evaluate the potential unsnapped link.

- In the `edit_packed_pointer` internal procedure which validates the incoming unaligned pointer, treat a packed pointer whose storage word is all zero bits as an invalid pointer. It is currently treated as a reference to 0|0 (segno 0 is the Descriptor Segment, dseg).
- In the `add_segment_name` internal procedure, try calling `interpret_ptr_` first to evaluate the pointer. If it returns `segment_name` and `entryname` information, add that information to the pointer representation string, and return; otherwise, let the existing code in `add_segment_name` attempt an evaluation. It will return pathname of the segment pointed to, applying alias names, etc.
- When `format_pointer_` calls its internal `add_segment_name` procedure, pass its incoming ITS pointer parameter directly, rather than using a copy. This can be important when using `interpret_ptr_` to evaluate the pointer.
- Include the `object_link_dcls.incl.pl1` file, which defines the `FAULT_TAG_2` modifier constant.
- Include the `interpret_ptr_struct.incl.pl1` file, which defines the structure returned by the `interpret_ptr_subroutine`.

With these changes, more descriptive information is returned by `interpret_ptr_`, as shown in the examples below.

```
dump_segment 234 1000 -as "sct_ptr(21)" -in [hd]>lib>gdStructures
001000
  sct_ptr (21) = 243|3734  process_overseer_$mme2_fault_handler_
```

```
ds w>tests>hello 114 -as pt.pointer -in [hd]>lib>gdStructures
000114
  pointer = -12|27  ioa_$nnl [unsnapped link]
```

In `>ldd>sss>source>bound_probe_1.s::display_data.pl1`, dis-entangle references to parameters in code paths shared by its two entrypoints: `display_data_$for_probe` and `$for_azm`.

```
display_data_$for_azm:
  entry (P_iocb_ptr, P_display_format, P_match_names, P_match_name_count,
        P_amu_info_ptr,
        P_data_ptr, P_data_size, P_start_ptr, P_symbol_ptr,
        P_subscripts, P_n_subscripts, P_code);
```

```
display_data_$for_probe:
  entry (P_probe_info_ptr, P_reference, P_code);
```

These two entrypoints accept differently-named parameters, having differing data types, passed in differing order. This includes the following changes:

- Move `global.probe_options.probe_sw` and `global.probe_options.language_type` to the top_level of the global structure (e.g., `global.probe_sw`). These two fields are shared by both entrypoints, and should reside in the shared portion of the global structure.

- Move calls to `set_globals_for_azm` and `set_globals_for_probe` to be the first calls made by their respective entrypoints. Setting the global structure first will help prevent entangled parameter references in any future changes.
- Eliminate the `azm_entry` parameter from the `common_c_setup` internal procedure. Since that internal procedure is now called after the `set_globals_for_XXX` routines, it should reference `global.probe_sw` to determine which entrypoint was called.
- In `common_c_setup`, move code that references the `$for_probe(..., Preference)` parameter structure to fall after the test for `C_lang_type`. The `$for_azm` entrypoint does not have a `Preference` parameter; but it always sets `global.language_type` to `PL1_lang_type`, and will therefore no longer attempt to access the missing `Preference` parameter.
- Eliminate references to `probe_info.language_type` and `.output_switch` throughout `display_data_` code. They are replaced by references to `global.language_type` and `.output_switch` (or eliminated when superfluous). These global elements are set in the `setup_for_XXX` routines.
- Wrap code dealing with C array dimensions with a protective `if global.probe_sw then...` clause.
- When calling the `print_symbol` internal procedure, make separate calls in `$for_probe` invocations (passing probe-specific parameters) versus `$for_azm` invocations (which pass `azm`-type parameters). [It surprises me that these calls ever worked for the `$for_azm` entrypoint; they essentially passed garbage data.]
- Fix spelling errors in several introductory comments.

Documentation

Both `display_data_` and `interpret_ptr_` are undocumented routines, deemed internal support procedures by their various callers. So there is not subroutine writeup or info segments to review or amend. The external calling sequences for these subroutines are not changed by the MCR.

Testing

`display_data_$for_probe` is called only by `probe_expr_requests_`. `display_data_$for_azm` is called by `azm_requests_1_`, and by `dump_segment` (the `ds` and `rzd` commands, and associated subroutines). Changes were tested using `dump_segment ... -as` (and `rzd ... -as`) commands, and using probe commands to display data values (scalars, arrays, and structures).

`interpret_ptr_` is called by various `trace_stack` and probe routines. Its basic functioning was not changed; new code for `Fault_Tag_2` modifiers was tested with the new `pointer_info` command, and with `dump_segment ... -as`.

Version History

Date	Revision	Author	Comment
2017-02-22	1.0	Gary Dixon	Initial draft of this MCR.
2017-02-23	1.1	Gary Dixon	Correct examples given in MCR.